

Message

From: Marshall, Tom [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=5D29134E5E32489B9AB0AEB262F55075-TMARSHAL]
Sent: 4/7/2017 1:42:20 PM
To: Tomiak, Robert [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=e43d67fe354a4d06be80afa6eb65e614-Tomiak, Rob]
CC: Suriano, Elaine [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=470f2e90e6dc4f5fae5ed305ee2def58-Esuriano]; Knight, Kelly [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=47fc4b0c90f94826a1d9a48381f4009f-Knight, Kel]
Subject: Re: Rosemont letter

(No FEIS letter from EPA at the time, just so you know - it was deferred bc of possible referral, etc. Draft referral letter was written, however, which Elaine can probably rummage up and Region would have. Note that OGC EPA disagreed, way back, with a USFS assertion it had no authority to mitigate for impacts off FS lands, to the extent that may still be an issue; tough to second guess an agency on something like that, but certainly appropriate at times, depending. FS statutes and guidance speak explicitly to watershed protection, with no limits re: boundaries; from a legal and policy standpoint their view, with all due respect, was borderline absurd.)

From: Tomiak, Robert
Sent: Friday, April 7, 2017 8:18 AM
To: Moutoux, Nicole; Goforth, Kathleen; Suriano, Elaine
Cc: Knight, Kelly; Marshall, Tom; Johnson, Kathleen
Subject: RE: Rosemont letter

My first read of the letter led me to check in with Mindy Eisenberg (OW) who indicated that Jason Brush (I assume he's in your OW section?) is coordinating, so please, if appropriate, loop him in as well.

Kelly and Tom are out today, but Elaine and I could be available before noon (est); I have to head over to CEQ NLT 12:30.

It would be helpful if you have the following and can send in advance:

- Our DEIS and FEIS comments letter
- The letter R9 sent to USACE regarding the 404 permit concerns
- A summary of discussions R9 and USACE's DE have had since then, and where both agencies stand on a response to the State rebuttal

If you all have an outline of a proposed way ahead, that would also be helpful. I'll also look for you all to signal to me/Mindy that engagement with USACE HQ would be helpful.

Thanks, Rob

From: Moutoux, Nicole
Sent: Thursday, April 06, 2017 5:54 PM
To: Tomiak, Robert <tomiak.robert@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Rosemont letter

Ok – please let me know a good time and number and we'll call you.

Nicole G. Moutoux
Assistant Director
Enforcement Division, ENF-4
Moutoux.Nicole@epa.gov
Office: 415-972-3012
Cell: 415-271-0701

From: Tomiak, Robert
Sent: Thursday, April 06, 2017 2:51 PM
To: Moutoux, Nicole <Moutoux.Nicole@epa.gov>
Cc: Knight, Kelly <knight.kelly@epa.gov>; Marshall, Tom <marshall.tom@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: Rosemont letter

I don't think Kelly will be in, but yes, let's discuss.

Rob

On Apr 6, 2017, at 5:46 PM, Moutoux, Nicole <Moutoux.Nicole@epa.gov> wrote:

Hi Rob-

Thanks for the conversation today. I know you are all are juggling a lot – I think it helps for staff to hear the context. On another note, I'm checking in on Rosemont. We received a copy of a letter sent to Administrator Pruitt from AZ governor and there are few other things going on related to the project. Are you and Kelly available tomorrow to speak with me and Kathy Goforth.

Thanks
Nicole

Nicole G. Moutoux
Assistant Director
Enforcement Division, ENF-4
Moutoux.Nicole@epa.gov
Office: 415-972-3012
Cell: 415-271-0701